Association between socioeconomic status and Diabetes Mellitus in Perimenopausal Women in an Urban Slum of Mumbai
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Abstract

This study has been undertaken to find association between socioeconomic status and diabetes mellitus in perimenopausal women in an urban slum of Mumbai. The cross-sectional community-based descriptive epidemiological study was conducted in slum area during the period of January 2012 to June 2013. Out of 61 diabetic patients, 14.8% were in class I, 18% were in class II, 16.4% were in class III and remaining 41% were in class IV and 9.8% were in class V. Out of 389 nondiabetic subjects, 5.1% were in class I, 6.7% were in class II, 20.6% were in class III, 46.3% were in class IV and 21.3% were in class V. There was significant statistical association between socioeconomic status and diabetes mellitus among perimenopausal women in an urban slum.
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1. Introduction

India is currently experiencing an epidemic of diabetes mellitus [2]. Data available shows rising pattern in the prevalence of type 2 Diabetes mellitus in India both in urban as well as rural areas. The population in India has an increased susceptibility to Diabetes mellitus.

Diabetes mellitus is an ‘ice-berg’ disease. Diabetic patients, if undiagnosed and inadequately treated, develop multiple chronic complications leading to irreversible disabilities and death. More than 90% of the cases of Diabetes mellitus are type 2 Diabetes mellitus.[1]

Factors responsible for development of type 2 Diabetes mellitus are age, familial and genetic ethnicity, obesity, physical inactivity, diet, smoking, socioeconomic status, high blood pressure and high cholesterol, history of gestational diabetes.

Early detection and appropriate treatment are the cornerstones for delaying the onset and progression of the diabetic complications. It is therefore particularly important that recognition and management of multiple risk factors should be a primary goal in comprehensive preventive care.

Studies suggest that Diabetes mellitus is no longer a disease of the affluent or rich man's disease. It is becoming a problem even among the middle income and poorer sections of the society. Studies also have shown that the poorer diabetic subjects are prone to complications as they have little access to quality health care.

As per U. N. Population Report (by Mid-year 2001), India’s urban slum population is estimated as 158.42 million [4]. Such large population always goes ignored. It is therefore important that effort should be made for recognition of multiple risk factors to reduce diabetic complications.

The decline in estrogen concentrations at the menopause has some adverse effects. The changes occurring at or after the menopause are increased insulin resistance, decreased insulin secretion, decreased insulin elimination and increased android fat distribution [3].

Few community studies have been conducted in the perimenopausal age group with varying definitions of perimenopausal age. For the present study, the perimenopausal age was considered to be 40-50 years [5].

Taking into consideration the above factors, a study has been undertaken to find association between family history of Diabetes mellitus and Diabetes mellitus among perimenopausal aged women in an urban slum.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Administrative approvals

The necessary approvals were obtained from the following authorities to carry out the study.
i) The Dean of Parent Medical College.
ii) Ethics committee of Parent Medical College
iii) Professor and Head, Department of Community Medicine, Parent Medical College.
iv) In-Charge of the Urban Health Centre.

2.2 Study area
The study was conducted at an urban slum Shivaji Nagar which is a field practice area of Department of Community Medicine of Topiwala National Medical College, Mumbai.

This slum consists of 50 plots (1 to 42, 43, 43A, 44 to 49). Each plot is divided into two parts. Each part has 10 lines, these lines are numbered from A to K (except I) on left side and from L to U on right side. Each line has 9 houses numbered from 1 to 9. Total 180 houses are there in each plot. Total population of study area is approximately 84,783.

2.3 Study Design
The present study is a cross-sectional community-based descriptive epidemiological study.

2.4 Duration of Study
The study was conducted during the period of January 2012 to June 2013.

2.5 Calculating Sample size
- Total population of study area was 84,783.
- Female population between 40 to 50 years was 10.1%3.
- So, female population between 40 to 50 years in study area was 8,563. (Applying national demographic parameters)[1].
- Taking 5% of perimenopausal women of 40 to 50 years = 428.15
- It was divided among 50 plots equally = 428.15/50 = 8.56 = 9.
- So, 450 perimenopausal women were included in the study.

From each plot, with the help of systematic random sampling method every 20th house was selected for the study, with a random start. All the females in age group 40 to 50 years in selected households were included for the study, till the sample size was met.

Females who were not aware about their diabetic status were screened at Urban Health Centre for fasting blood glucose level and oral glucose tolerance test by semiautoanalyser. In the remaining females who had reported physician diagnosis of Diabetes mellitus, the diagnosis was further confirmed by checking for one of the evidence of disease like blood sugar report, medical record or prescription from physician or medicines.

2.6 Socio-economic status
Modified B.G. Prasad classification [6] for the year 2012 was used for socioeconomic status for urban family according to per capita income.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socio Economic class status</th>
<th>Per Capita Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class I</td>
<td>Rs.4700 and above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II</td>
<td>Rs.4699-2350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class III</td>
<td>Rs.2349-1410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class IV</td>
<td>Rs.1409-705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class V</td>
<td>Rs.704 and below</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.7 Statistical analysis
Chi square test was used for statistical analysis.

3. Results
Table 1: Association between Socioeconomic Status according to Modified B.G. Prasad classification and Diabetes mellitus in the study subjects:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socioeconomic Status</th>
<th>Diabetic</th>
<th>Nondiabetic</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class I</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class III</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class IV</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>45.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class V</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi-Square value =20.13, df=4, p =0.000

Out of 61 diabetic patients, 9 (14.8%) were in class I, 11 (18%) were in class II, 10 (16.4%) were in class III and remaining 25 (41%) were in class IV and 6 (9.8%) were in class V.

Out of 389 nondiabetic subjects, 20 (5.1%) were in class I, 26 (6.7%) were in class II, 80 (20.6%) were in class III, 180 (46.3%) were in class IV and 83 (21.3%) were in class V.

There was highly significant association between socio economic class and Diabetes mellitus, as the p value <0.01.

4. Discussion
Out of 61 diabetic patients, 9 (14.8%) were in class I, 11 (18%) were in class II, 10 (16.4%) were in class III and remaining 25 (41%) were in class IV and 6 (9.8%) were in class V. There was highly significant association between socioeconomic status and Diabetes mellitus (p=0.000).

Similar results were obtained in the studies done by Shah et al [7], Mohan et al[8], Rao et al[9] and Anjana et al[10].

However the study by Baijayant Baur et al[11] (p=0.59) did not show any significant difference between socio-economic status and Diabetes mellitus.

It may be due to overnutrition with decreased physical activity and subsequent obesity leading to higher prevalence of Diabetes mellitus among people from higher socioeconomic status. Further, in higher socioeconomic status, people live high profile life with fewer struggles for life.
5. Conclusion

There is significant statistical association between socioeconomic status and diabetes mellitus among perimenopausal women in an urban slum.
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